Merton Council Licensing sub-committee 16 November 2015 Supplementary agenda

5 Notice of Determination

1 - 6

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 5



Licensing Act 2003 Notice of Determination

Date of issue of this notice: 16th November 2015

Subject: Mitcham News, 25 Upper Green East, Mitcham CR4 2PE

Having considered relevant applications, notices and representations together with any other relevant information submitted to any Hearing held on this matter the Licensing Authority has made the determination set out in Annex A. Reasons for the determination are also set out in Annex A.

Parties to hearings have the right to appeal against decisions of the Licensing Authority. These rights are set out in Schedule 5 of the Licensing Act 2003 and Chapter 12 of the Amended Guidance issued by the Home Secretary (April 2012). Chapter 12 of the guidance is attached as Annex B to this notice.

For enquiries about this matter please contact

Democratic Services Civic Centre London Road Morden Surrey SM4 5DX

Telephone: 020 8545 3616 Fax: 020 8545 3226 (Please telephone 020 8545 3616 to notify faxes sent) Email: <u>democratic.services@merton.gov.uk</u>

Useful documents:

Licensing Act 2003 http://www.hmso.gov.uk/acts/acts2003/20030017.htm

Guidance issued by the Home Secretary http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/

Regulations issued by the Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport http://www.culture.gov.uk/alcohol_and_entertainment/lic_act_reg.htm

Merton's Statement of Licensing policy

http://www.merton.gov.uk/licensing/

Annex A

Determination

The Licensing Sub-Committee considered this application for a new Premises Licence for 25 Upper Green East, Mitcham, CR4 2PE for the retail sale of alcohol (off sales only) 05:30 to 23:00 Mondays to Saturdays and 06:30 to 22:00 Sundays.

The Licensing Sub-Committee, in reaching its decision, had to promote the Licensing Objectives, make a decision that was appropriate and proportionate, that complied with the Licensing Act 2003 and its Regulations, had regard to the current Secretary of States' Home Office section 182 Guidance, had regard to the Council's Statement of Licensing Policy, and complied with parameters provided by relevant case law.

The decision of the Licensing Sub-Committee was to refuse the application for the reasons detailed below.

Reasons

The Licensing Sub-Committee provided the following reasons in making its decision to refuse this application:

1. The evidence from the Responsible Authorities showed that alcohol related anti-social behaviour, street drinking and underage drinking were occurring in the vicinity of the premises and therefore were taken into consideration by the Licensing Sub-Committee as proper evidence (Thwaites case) of issues with this area in making their decision. The evidence submitted included:.

- Evidence from the Police showing that there were 171 seizures of alcohol and 18 allegations of crime in the area of the premises in the year ending 23 September 2015;

- Evidence from LBM Public Health showing that there were 112 alcohol related incidents within 500m of the premises in the year ending June 2015;

2. The Conditions offered would go a long way towards addressing concerns but granting a licence to this premises, in this area, would result in another source or access to alcohol and this would result in increased cumulative impact. The application was not considered under the new Statement of Licensing Policy which contained the new CIZ for Mitcham that is expected to come into force January 2016. The 'single can' condition and the 'no advertising' condition proposed by Public Health were rejected by the applicant. The Licensing Sub-Committee did consider alternative hours, but noted the Police evidence that the anti social behaviour, street drinking occurred throughout the day and that there was saturation of off licence premises in this area.

- 3. The applicant failed to demonstrate how they would manage the premises to avoid public nuisance and to prevent crime and disorder.
- 4. The Sub-Committee understands the financial situation of the applicants but cannot consider this when making a decision as such factors are specifically outside of the scope of the Licensing process. The Licensing Sub-Committee can only make decisions that promote the four Licensing Objectives, that are appropriate and proportionate, that balance and weigh up the competing interests of the community and in a fair and open hearing.

Annex B

Extract from the Amended Guidance issued by the Home Secretary under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 (April 2012).

12.Appeals

12.1 This chapter provides advice about entitlements to appeal in connection with various decisions made by a licensing authority under the provisions of the 2003 Act. Entitlements to appeal for parties aggrieved by decisions of the licensing authority are set out in Schedule 5 to the 2003 Act.

GENERAL

12.2 With the exception of appeals in relation to closure orders, an appeal may be made to any magistrates' court in England or Wales but it is expected that applicants would bring an appeal in a magistrates' court in the area in which they or the premises are situated.

12.3 An appeal has to be commenced by the appellant giving of a notice of appeal to the designated officer for the magistrates' court within a period of 21 days beginning with the day on which the appellant was notified by the licensing authority of the decision which is being appealed.

12.4 The licensing authority will always be a respondent to the appeal, but in cases where a favourable decision has been made for an applicant, licence holder, club or premises user against the representations of a responsible authority or any other person, or the objections of the chief officer of police or local authority exercising environmental health functions, the holder of the premises or personal licence or club premises user will also be a respondent to the appeal, and the person who made the relevant representation or gave the objection will be the appellants.

12.5 Where an appeal has been made against a decision of the licensing authority, the licensing authority will in all cases be the respondent to the appeal and may call as a witness a responsible authority or any other person who made representations against the application, if it chooses to do so. For this reason, the licensing authority should consider keeping responsible authorities and others informed of developments in relation to appeals to allow them to consider their position. Provided the court considers it appropriate, the licensing authority may also call as witnesses any individual or body that they feel might assist their response to an appeal.

12.6 The court, on hearing any appeal, may review the merits of the decision on the facts and consider points of law or address both.

12.7 On determining an appeal, the court may:

• dismiss the appeal;

• substitute for the decision appealed against any other decision which could have been made by the licensing authority; or

• remit the case to the licensing authority to dispose of it in accordance with the direction of the court and make such order as to costs as it thinks fit.

LICENSING POLICY STATEMENTS AND SECTION 182 GUIDANCE

12.8 In hearing an appeal against any decision made by a licensing authority, the magistrates' court will have regard to that licensing authority's statement of licensing policy and this Guidance. However, the court would be entitled to depart from either the statement of licensing policy or this Guidance if it considered it was justified to do so because of the individual circumstances of any case. In other words, while the court will normally consider the matter as if it were "standing in the shoes" of the licensing authority, it would be entitled to find that the licensing authority should have departed from its own policy or the Guidance because the particular circumstances would have justified such a decision.

12.9 In addition, the court is entitled to disregard any part of a licensing policy statement or this Guidance that it holds to be ultra vires the 2003 Act and therefore unlawful. The normal course for challenging a statement of licensing policy or this Guidance should be by way of judicial review, but where it is submitted to an appellate court that a statement of policy is itself ultra vires the 2003 Act and this has a direct bearing on the case before it, it would be inappropriate for the court, on accepting such a submission, to compound the original error by relying on that part of the statement of licensing policy affected.

GIVING REASONS FOR DECISIONS

12.10 It is important that a licensing authority should give comprehensive reasons for its decisions in anticipation of any appeals. Failure to give adequate reasons could itself give rise to grounds for an appeal. It is particularly important that reasons should also address the extent to which the decision has been made with regard to the licensing authority's statement of policy and this Guidance. Reasons should be promulgated to all the parties of any process which might give rise to an appeal under the terms of the 2003 Act.

IMPLEMENTING THE DETERMINATION OF THE MAGISTRATES' COURTS

12.11 As soon as the decision of the magistrates' court has been promulgated, licensing authorities should implement it without delay. Any attempt to delay implementation will only bring the appeal system into disrepute. Standing orders should therefore be in place that on receipt of the decision, appropriate action should be taken immediately unless ordered by the magistrates' court or a higher court to suspend such action (for example, as a result of an on-going judicial review). Except in the case of closure orders, the 2003 Act does not provide for a further appeal against the decision of the magistrates' courts and normal rules of challenging decisions of magistrates' courts will apply.

PROVISIONAL STATEMENTS

12.12 To avoid confusion, it should be noted that a right of appeal only exists in respect of the terms of a provisional statement that is issued rather than one that is refused. This is because the 2003 Act does not empower a licensing authority to refuse to issue a provisional statement. After receiving and considering relevant representations, the licensing authority may only indicate, as part of the statement, that it would consider certain steps to be appropriate for the promotion of the licensing objectives when, and if, an application were made for a premises licence following the issuing of the provisional statement. Accordingly, the applicant or any person who has made relevant representations may appeal against the terms of the statement issued.